

REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE (RFA) FORM INTAKE INTERVIEW LOG

<u>Date</u> : 7	/24/18 Interview Schlesing	<u>ver</u> : Caitlin McGrane; Sue Guenter- er	RFA #18-61			
Name of Person(s) Requesting Assistance:						
Contact Numbers (telephone, e-mail, etc.):						
Status of Person(s) Interviewed (title, position, student status, etc.): Student						
Requested Assistance Pertaining To (name, position, policy, project, etc.):						
, Associate Professor,						
Cell –	Cell – Office –					
terviewee Status: Male x Female						
		Time Line				
Date	Item	Comme	ents			
7/9/18	emails EOO outlining his concerns regarding behaviors of his professor,					
7/11/18	SGS t/c with	Appointment scheduled for July 16.				
7/16/18	SGS and CM meet with	SGS went over the complaint procedure, including formal complaint procedure and the informal resolution process. CM then conducted an intake interview with and took notes. described a number of incidents that occurred with over the last several years. Some incidents described by did not specifically relate to an EO issue. The EO concerns raised included the following:				

		1-6) at the home, and who you would get rid of, who would be the "town pump" and who would be the virgin until marriage, who would be a tease 2. Outed non-binary students during 3. Licked face during a game 4. Asked to bring her coffee the color of his skin 5. Touched the hair of women of color 6. appropriation- not appropriate training 7. White man wore a turban for no reason in a
7/16/18	SGS met with CM	CM reviewed notes from intake with with SGS. SGS asked CM to schedule another meeting with to determine next steps
7/16/18	CM phone call with	called CM because had met with him following meeting at EO. CM told I would speak with SGS and we would get back to him.
7/18/18	SGS and CM t/c with	
7/18/18	CM t/c with	called back- scheduled a meeting with CM and SGS on 8/20/2018 at 2:30 pm
7/20/18	CM meets with	SGS was unable to join the meeting due to a family medical issue. CM met with discussed desired outcomes from this process. decided he wanted to pursue an informal resolution process, at least initially. CM gave an outline of what the process was going forward- told he can always contact the EO office if he has any questions or concerns.
		indicated he was hoping for an ability for to grow somehow. Indicated he wanted some sort of warning system if this persists and there are more occurrences. Indicated he wanted to truly understand what she is doing and that it has an impact on the students. Understand the difference between appreciation and appropriation.
7/24/18	SGS call	Appointment scheduled for August 1.
8/1/18	SGS and CM met with	SGS and CM reviewed the concerns raised by CM went through each of the concerns raised by and responded to each. agreed with some of the information provided by but disagreed with a number of things he said. At the conclusion of the meetings, CM warned against retaliation, indicated the matter should be kept private expressed concern re: talking about it to other students and individuals in the department expressed a willingness to participate in training and education, but also expressed frustration that she will be doing training when she believes the men in the
8/2/18	SGS received an e-mail from	SGS received an e-mail from indicating that spoke to students about the concerns that raised.
8/2/18	SGS and CM phone call to	No answer- SGS left VM asking to call back
8/2/18	SGS and CM phone call to	No answer- SGS left VM asking to call back
8/2/18	phone call to SGS and CM	SGS spoke with re: the informal resolution process and reminded of the importance to keep this matter discrete.

0/0/40	71.4	indicated she would like her union rep present at any future meetings.
8/3/18	e-mail to	indicated she would like her union rep present at any luture meetings.
8/3/18	CM phone call to	No answer- left message asking to call back
8/3/18	phone call to	CM asked if he had heard that had spoken to students about going to the EO. did not indicate that anyone had said anything about talking to them about going to the EO office. CM reminded of the importance of keeping this issue private/discrete indicated understanding and a willingness to do so. CM scheduled a meeting with and SGS for August 10, 2018 at 4:00.
8/10/18	SGS and CM met with	SGS and CM reviewed what had said with said with responses. It disputed some of what said, but seemed to agree with other points. SGS explained the process and told him we would meet with again and get a verbal commitment from her to change the problematic behavior going forward, which would be documented as part of this RFA.
8/24/18	SGS and CM met with and Sandra	SGS and CM met with and her union representative,
8/31/18	CM met with	CM told about the conversation SGS and CM had with about her commitment to change her behavior going forward, and told that had indicated she cares a lot about indicated he was satisfied with the outcome of the RFA and thanked CM
		for her assistance. said as long as was told of his concerns, said she was not going to do it again, and there was a record of the process, he was satisfied with the outcome.